

# *Stable Central Limit Theorems for Super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes*

Zhenyao Sun

Joint work with **Yan-Xia Ren**, **Renming Song** and **Jianjie Zhao**

The 6th workshop on branching processes and related topics,  
October, 2020

This talk is based on the following two papers:

[1] Y.-X. Ren, R. Song Z. Sun and J. Zhao: Stable central limit theorems for super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Elect. J. Probab.*, **24** (2019), No. 141, 1–42

[2] Y.-X. Ren, R. Song Z. Sun and J. Zhao: Y.-X. Ren, R. Song, Z, Sun and J. Zhao Stable central limit theorems for super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, II. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.11731.pdf>

# Outline

1 Background

2 Model

3 Main Result

4 Intuition

# Background/CLT with finite second moment

There have been many **central limit theorem** type results for **branching processes**, **branching Markov processes** and **superprocesses**, under the **second moment condition**.

# Background/CLT with finite second moment

There have been many **central limit theorem** type results for **branching processes**, **branching Markov processes** and **superprocesses**, under the **second moment condition**.

Some **spatial central limit theorems** for **supercritical branching OU processes** with **binary branching** were proved in Adamczak-Milos (EJP, 2015), and some **spatial central limit theorems** for **supercritical super-processes** were proved in Milos (JTP, 2018). These two papers made connections between **spatial central limit theorems** and **branching rate regimes**. The results of these two papers have been refined and generalized in a series of papers by Ren-Song-Zhang.

# Background/CLT with infinite second moment

There are also **central limit theorem** type results for **supercritical branching processes** and **branching Markov processes** with branching mechanisms of **infinite second moment**. For earlier papers, see Asmussen, (AOP, 1976) and Heyde (JAP, 1971).

# Background/CLT with infinite second moment

There are also **central limit theorem** type results for **supercritical branching processes** and **branching Markov processes** with branching mechanisms of **infinite second moment**. For earlier papers, see Asmussen, (AOP, 1976) and Heyde (JAP, 1971).

In a recent paper (arXiv:1803.05491) Marks and Milos established some **spatial central limit theorems** in the **small and critical branching rate regimes**, for some **supercritical branching OU processes** with a **special stable offspring distribution**.

# Background/CLT with infinite second moment

There are also **central limit theorem** type results for **supercritical branching processes** and **branching Markov processes** with branching mechanisms of **infinite second moment**. For earlier papers, see Asmussen, (AOP, 1976) and Heyde (JAP, 1971).

In a recent paper (arXiv:1803.05491) Marks and Milos established some **spatial central limit theorems** in the **small and critical branching rate regimes**, for some **supercritical branching OU processes** with a **special stable offspring distribution**.

Our **goal** is to establish **stable central limit theorems** for **super-OU processes** with **general stable branching mechanisms**.



# Outline

1 Background

**2 Model**

3 Main Result

4 Intuition

# Model/Parameters

Suppose **spatial motion**  $\xi = \{(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\Pi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}\}$  is an **OU process** on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with generator

$$Lf(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\Delta f(x) - bx \cdot \nabla f(x)$$

with  $b, \sigma$  being positive constants.

# Model/Parameters

Suppose **spatial motion**  $\xi = \{(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\Pi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}\}$  is an **OU process** on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with generator

$$Lf(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \Delta f(x) - bx \cdot \nabla f(x)$$

with  $b, \sigma$  being positive constants.

Suppose that  $\psi$  is a **branching mechanism** of the form

$$\psi(z) = -\alpha z + \rho z^2 + \int_{(0, \infty)} (e^{-zy} - 1 + zy)\pi(dy)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $\pi$  is a measure on  $(0, \infty)$  with  $\int_{(0, \infty)} (y \wedge y^2)\pi(dy) < \infty$ . We call  $\alpha$  the **branching rate**.

# Model/Assumptions

## Assumption 1

The branching mechanism satisfies Grey's condition, i.e. there is some constant  $z' > 0$  such that  $\psi(z) > 0$  for all  $z > z'$  and that  $\int_{z'}^{\infty} \psi(z)^{-1} dz < \infty$ .

# Model/Assumptions

## Assumption 1

The branching mechanism satisfies Grey's condition, i.e. there is some constant  $z' > 0$  such that  $\psi(z) > 0$  for all  $z > z'$  and that  $\int_{z'}^{\infty} \psi(z)^{-1} dz < \infty$ .

## Assumption 2

There exist constants  $\eta > 0$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\int_{(1, \infty)} y^{1+\beta+\delta} \left| \pi(dy) - \frac{\eta dy}{\Gamma(-1-\beta)y^{2+\beta}} \right| < \infty,$$

for some  $\delta \in (0, 1 - \beta)$ .

# Model/Assumptions

## Assumption 1

The branching mechanism satisfies Grey's condition, i.e. there is some constant  $z' > 0$  such that  $\psi(z) > 0$  for all  $z > z'$  and that  $\int_{z'}^{\infty} \psi(z)^{-1} dz < \infty$ .

## Assumption 2

There exist constants  $\eta > 0$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\int_{(1, \infty)} y^{1+\beta+\delta} \left| \pi(dy) - \frac{\eta dy}{\Gamma(-1-\beta)y^{2+\beta}} \right| < \infty,$$

for some  $\delta \in (0, 1 - \beta)$ .

Roughly speaking, Assumption 2 says that  $\psi$  is “not too far away” from  $\tilde{\psi}(z) = -\alpha z + \eta z^{1+\beta}$  near 0.

# Model/Superprocess

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , resp.) the space of all finite Borel measures (of compact support, resp.) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We suppose that  $X = \{(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_\mu)_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\}$  is a **superprocess** with **spatial motion**  $\xi$  and **branching mechanism**  $\psi$ , i.e., a **super-OU process**.

# Model/Superprocess

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , resp.) the space of all finite Borel measures (of compact support, resp.) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We suppose that  $X = \{(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_\mu)_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\}$  is a **superprocess** with **spatial motion**  $\xi$  and **branching mechanism**  $\psi$ , i.e., a **super-OU process**.

For each non-negative bounded Borel function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu[e^{-X_t(f)}] = e^{-\mu(V_t f)}, \quad t \geq 0, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $(t, x) \mapsto V_t f(x)$  is the unique locally bounded non-negative solution to the equation

$$V_t f(x) + \Pi_x \left[ \int_0^t \psi(V_{t-s} f(\xi_s)) ds \right] = \Pi_x[f(\xi_t)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0.$$



# Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Model
- 3 Main Result**
- 4 Intuition

# Main Result/Preliminary

The OU process  $\xi$  has an **invariant distribution**

$$\varphi(x)dx := \left(\frac{b}{\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\sigma^2}|x|^2\right)dx, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let  $L^2(\varphi) := \left\{h \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(x)|^2 \varphi(x)dx < \infty\right\}$ . Then,  $L^2(\varphi)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ .

# Main Result/Preliminary

The OU process  $\xi$  has an **invariant distribution**

$$\varphi(x)dx := \left(\frac{b}{\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\sigma^2}|x|^2\right)dx, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let  $L^2(\varphi) := \left\{h \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(x)|^2 \varphi(x)dx < \infty\right\}$ . Then,  $L^2(\varphi)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ .

The OU operator  $L$  has discrete spectrum  $\sigma(L) = \{-bk : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ . The eigenfunctions of  $L$  consists a family of polynomials  $\{\phi_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d\}$  which forms a **complete orthonormal basis** of  $L^2(\varphi)$ . For each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,  $\phi_p$  is an eigenfunction of  $L$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $b|p|$ , where  $|p| := \sum_{k=1}^d p_k$ .

# Main Result/Preliminary

For  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , define a martingale  $H_t^p := e^{-(\alpha - |p|b)t} X_t(\phi_p)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

# Main Result/Preliminary

For  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , define a martingale  $H_t^p := e^{-(\alpha - |p|b)t} X_t(\phi_p)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

For any  $u \neq -1$ , we write  $\tilde{u} = u/(1 + u)$ .

# Main Result/Preliminary

For  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , define a martingale  $H_t^p := e^{-(\alpha - |p|b)t} X_t(\phi_p)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

For any  $u \neq -1$ , we write  $\tilde{u} = u/(1+u)$ .

## Lemma 1

For any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(H_t^p)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -martingale. Furthermore, if  $\alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b$ , then for any  $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(H_t^p)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -martingale bounded in  $L^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{P}_\mu)$ ; thus  $H_\infty^p := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_t^p$  exists  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely and in  $L^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{P}_\mu)$ .

# Main Result/Preliminary

For  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , define a martingale  $H_t^p := e^{-(\alpha - |p|b)t} X_t(\phi_p)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

For any  $u \neq -1$ , we write  $\tilde{u} = u/(1+u)$ .

## Lemma 1

For any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(H_t^p)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -martingale. Furthermore, if  $\alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b$ , then for any  $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(H_t^p)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -martingale bounded in  $L^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{P}_\mu)$ ; thus  $H_\infty^p := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_t^p$  exists  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely and in  $L^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{P}_\mu)$ .

Fixing  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  and  $b > 0$ , if the branching rate  $\alpha$  is large enough so that  $\alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b$  then we say we are in the large branching rate regime; if  $\alpha\tilde{\beta} = |p|b$  then we are in the critical branching rate regime; if  $\alpha\tilde{\beta} < |p|b$  then we are in the small branching rate regime.

# Main Result/Preliminary

Denote by  $\mathcal{P} \subset L^2(\varphi)$  the class of **functions of polynomial growth** on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \exists C > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^n \right\}.$$



# Main Result/Preliminary

Denote by  $\mathcal{P} \subset L^2(\varphi)$  the class of **functions of polynomial growth** on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \exists C > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^n \right\}.$$

Then we have the decomposition  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}_s \otimes \mathcal{C}_c \otimes \mathcal{C}_1$  where

$$\mathcal{C}_s := \mathcal{P} \cap \overline{\text{Span}}\{\phi_p : \alpha\tilde{\beta} < |p|b\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_c := \mathcal{P} \cap \text{Span}\{\phi_p : \alpha\tilde{\beta} = |p|b\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{P} \cap \text{Span}\{\phi_p : \alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b\}.$$

# Main Result/Preliminary

Denote by  $\mathcal{P} \subset L^2(\varphi)$  the class of **functions of polynomial growth** on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \exists C > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^n \right\}.$$

Then we have the decomposition  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}_s \otimes \mathcal{C}_c \otimes \mathcal{C}_1$  where

$$\mathcal{C}_s := \mathcal{P} \cap \overline{\text{Span}}\{\phi_p : \alpha\tilde{\beta} < |p|b\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_c := \mathcal{P} \cap \text{Span}\{\phi_p : \alpha\tilde{\beta} = |p|b\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{P} \cap \text{Span}\{\phi_p : \alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{C}_s$  is an **infinite dimensional space**,  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_c$  are **finite dimensional spaces**, and  $\mathcal{C}_c$  might be empty.

# Main Result/Preliminary

Define a semigroup

$$T_t f := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} e^{-|p|b - \alpha \tilde{\beta}|t|} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} \phi_p, \quad t \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{P},$$

and a family of functionals

$$m_t[f] := \eta \int_0^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-iT_u f(x))^{1+\beta} \varphi(x) dx, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

# Main Result/Preliminary

Define a semigroup

$$T_t f := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} e^{-|p|b - \alpha \tilde{\beta}|t|} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_\varphi \phi_p, \quad t \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{P},$$

and a family of functionals

$$m_t[f] := \eta \int_0^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-iT_u f(x))^{1+\beta} \varphi(x) dx, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

## Proposition 2

For each  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , there exists a  $(1 + \beta)$ -stable random variable  $\zeta^f$  with characteristic function  $\theta \mapsto e^{m[\theta f]}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$m[f] := \begin{cases} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m_t[f], & f \in \mathcal{C}_s \oplus \mathcal{C}_1, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} m_t[f], & f \in \mathcal{P} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_s \oplus \mathcal{C}_1). \end{cases}$$

# Main Result/Preliminary

For  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , note that

$$X_t(f) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} e^{(\alpha - |p|b)t} H_t^p, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Define the centering

$$x_t(f) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d : \alpha \tilde{\beta} > |p|b} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} e^{(\alpha - |p|b)t} H_{\infty}^p, \quad t \geq 0.$$

# Main Result/Preliminary

For  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , note that

$$X_t(f) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} e^{(\alpha - |p|b)t} H_t^p, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Define the centering

$$x_t(f) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d : \alpha \tilde{\beta} > |p|b} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} e^{(\alpha - |p|b)t} H_{\infty}^p, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let  $D := \{\exists t \geq 0, \text{ such that } \|X_t\| = 0\}$  be the **extinction event**.

# Main Result

## Theorem 3 (Ren, Song, S. and Zhao, arXiv:2005.11731)

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_s \in \mathcal{C}_s$ ,  $f_c \in \mathcal{C}_c$  and  $f_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , then under  $\mathbb{P}_\mu(\cdot|D^c)$ ,

$$S(t) := \left( e^{-\alpha t \|X_t\|}, \frac{X_t(f_s)}{\|X_t\|^{1-\beta}}, \frac{X_t(f_c)}{\|tX_t\|^{1-\beta}}, \frac{X_t(f_1) - x_t(f_1)}{\|X_t\|^{1-\beta}} \right)$$
$$\xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{d} (\tilde{H}_\infty, \zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}, \zeta^{-f_1}),$$

where  $\tilde{H}_\infty$  has the distribution of  $\{H_\infty^0; \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu\}$ ;  $\zeta^{f_s}$ ,  $\zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are the  $(1 + \beta)$ -stable random variables described in Proposition 2;  $\tilde{H}_\infty$ ,  $\zeta^{f_s}$ ,  $\zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are independent.

# Main Result

## Corollary 4

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $f = f_s + f_c + f_1$  where  $f_s \in \mathcal{C}_s$ ,  $f_c \in \mathcal{C}_c$  and  $f_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then under  $\mathbb{P}_\mu(\cdot | D^c)$ , it holds that

- ① if  $f_c \equiv 0$ , then

$$\frac{X_t(f) - x_t(f)}{\|X_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{d} \zeta^{f_s} + \zeta^{-f_1},$$

where  $\zeta^{f_s}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are the  $(1 + \beta)$ -stable random variables described in Proposition 2,  $\zeta^{f_s}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are independent;

- ② if  $f_c \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{X_t(f) - x_t(f)}{\|tX_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{d} \zeta^{f_c}.$$

where  $\zeta^{f_c}$  is the  $(1 + \beta)$ -stable random variable described in Proposition 2.



# Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Model
- 3 Main Result
- 4 Intuition**

# Intuition

The central limit theorem for  $X_t(\phi_p)$  takes different forms depending on whether it's in the **large branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b$ ), or in the **critical branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} = |p|b$ ), or in the **small branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} < |p|b$ ). We now give some intuitive explanation of this phase transition.

# Intuition

The central limit theorem for  $X_t(\phi_p)$  takes different forms depending on whether it's in the **large branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b$ ), or in the **critical branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} = |p|b$ ), or in the **small branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} < |p|b$ ). We now give some intuitive explanation of this phase transition.

A superprocess can be thought of as a cloud of infinitesimal branching “particles” moving in space.

# Intuition

The central limit theorem for  $X_t(\phi_p)$  takes different forms depending on whether it's in the **large branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b$ ), or in the **critical branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} = |p|b$ ), or in the **small branching regime** ( $\alpha\tilde{\beta} < |p|b$ ). We now give some intuitive explanation of this phase transition.

A superprocess can be thought of as a cloud of infinitesimal branching “particles” moving in space.

The phase transition is due to an interplay of two competing effects in the system: **coarsening** and **smoothing**. The **coarsening effect** corresponds to the increase of the spatial inequality and is a consequence of the branching. The **smoothing effect** corresponds to the decrease of the spatial inequality and is a consequence of the mixing property of the OU processes.

# Intuition

Let us discuss how the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, b$  and  $|p|$  influence those two effects for  $X_t(\phi_p)$ :

# Intuition

Let us discuss how the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, b$  and  $|p|$  influence those two effects for  $X_t(\phi_p)$ :

The **branching rate**  $\alpha$  captures the mean intensity of the branching in the system. Therefore, the **larger the branching rate**  $\alpha$ , the **stronger the coarsening effect**.

# Intuition

Let us discuss how the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, b$  and  $|p|$  influence those two effects for  $X_t(\phi_p)$ :

The **branching rate**  $\alpha$  captures the mean intensity of the branching in the system. Therefore, the **larger the branching rate**  $\alpha$ , the **stronger the coarsening effect**.

The **tail index**  $\beta$  describes the heaviness of the tail of the offspring distribution. When  $\beta$  is smaller i.e. the tail is heavier, then it is more likely that one particle can suddenly have a large amount of offspring. In other words, the **larger the tail index**  $\beta$ , the smaller the fluctuation of offspring number, and then the **stronger the coarsening effect**.

# Intuition

The drift parameter  $b$  is related to the level of the mixing property of the OU particles. The larger the drift parameter  $b$ , the faster the OU-particles forgetting their initial position, and therefore the stronger the smoothing effect.



# Intuition

The drift parameter  $b$  is related to the level of the mixing property of the OU particles. The larger the drift parameter  $b$ , the faster the OU-particles forgetting their initial position, and therefore the stronger the smoothing effect.

The order  $|p|$  is related to the capability of  $\phi_p$  capturing the mixing property of the OU particles. In particular, in the case that  $|p| = 0$ , no mixing property can be captured. (Since  $\phi_0 \equiv 1$ , we are only considering the total mass  $X_t(\phi_0) = \|X_t\|$ ). In general, the higher the order  $|p|$ , the more mixing property can be captured by  $\phi_p$ , and therefore the stronger the smoothing effect.

Thank you!